

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/767,476		Applicant(s) STUELPNAGEL ET AL.	
	Examiner Amber D. Steele		Art Unit 1639	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Amber D. Steele. (3) Mr. Murphy.
 (2) Jerry Hefner. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 05 May 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 29 and 41.

Identification of prior art discussed: Pinkel et al. and Walt et al..

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed altering claim language to clarify second substrate projection/array (e.g. bundle/fibers). TD for parent application, dropping provisional ODP rejection based on structural limitations.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Amber D. Steele/
 Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1639

 Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
 Attachment to a signed Office action.